BRANDON SCOTT GIBBS, PMA

The United States of America
NAC Address: 70PHZ P5FJ2
State of Indiana, Chapter 15
NAC Address: 7S8TXPMKZ3
R.R.1. The United States of America

NAC Address: 7PJ57 PDVPF Phone: (618)-499-4192 Email: donbrando70@yahoo.com

AFFIDAVIT OF FACT

Cc.: Gibson Superior Court/ Court Reporter/Court clerk, Court House- 2nd Floor (101 N. Main Street, Princeton, IN 27670)

In the matter of Case # (26D01-2208-IF-2274)

Comes now the Trustee for BRANDON SCOTT GIBBS, PMA, hereinafter "Affiant", being competent to testify and being over the age of twenty-one years, after first being duly sworn according to the law to tell the truth to the facts related herein states that Affiant has first- hand knowledge and belief that these facts are true to the best of Affiant's knowledge and belief, and

The following persons shall be added as Respondents in addition to the Respondents listed within the original Affidavit of Fact for the above case within this Affidavit of Fact, hereinafter "Affidavit", as stated herein; County of Gibson Sheriff's Deputy Loesch, employee badge number (26-12), hereinafter "Respondent 4", County of Gibson Sheriff's Deputy Ballard, employee badge number (26-6), hereinafter "Respondent 5", County of Gibson Sheriff's Deputy Smith, employee badge number (26-9), hereinafter "Respondent 6", GIBSON SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE Robert D. Kreig, hereinafter Respondent "7" Gibson County Prosecutor Assistant Abigail D. Brown-Cox, hereinafter "Respondent 8", Gibson County Prosecutor Michael Cochren, hereinafter Respondent "9", Chief Legal Council for the Office of the Secretary of Parent Corporation State of Indiana, Jerry Bonnet, hereinafter "Respondent 10", Key Principle of Parent Corporation of State of Indiana Eric Holcolm, hereinafter "Respondent "11", Mayor of London Sadiq Khan, hereinafter Respondent "12",

- 1. Affiant states that Respondent 4, Respondent 5, and Respondent 6 are co-conspirators that served as support for Respondent 1 of the original Affidavit of Fact, and
- 2. Affiant appeared before Respondent 7 on September 26, 2022, to defend against the alleged violations of corporate policies to which Affiant is not subject to nor a part of or party to and is outside the jurisdiction of the United States federal corporation and its subsidiaries, and

- 3. Affiant states that Respondent 7 arbitrarily took power of attorney of Affiant's PERSON (person) which was moved outside of their jurisdiction as outlined in the original Affidavit of Fact, thereby trafficking in PERSON back into one of the offshore Internal Revenue Service trust accounts, and
- 4. Affiant states that Respondent 7 has caused liability for Respondent 8, Respondent 9, Respondent 10, Respondent 11, and Respondent 12 by arbitrarily trafficking a member of the foreign political party back into the offshore Internal Revenue Trust and arbitrarily assigning liability to Affiant by way of forced contract, as seen in Exhibit 1 of this Affidavit of Fact, and

AVERMENT

- Respondents are attempting to enforce corporate policies upon Affiant in the form of an
 arbitrarily required Driver's License (A.), Vehicle Insurance (B.), and Vehicle Registration (C.),
 all corporate requirements administered upon corporate private entities, PERSONS or persons,
 by the Parent Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the State of Indiana.
- (A) Violations of said requirement does not legally exist as the Parent Corporation's Bureau of Motor Vehicles, hereinafter "BMV", fail to produce form(s) that would grant PERSON intangible property to the use of the public highways to the BMV. The aforementioned grant would produce a valid contract of terms and conditions under the license that the PERSON or person would sign in order for the prosecution to prove intent to violate the statute that the PERSON or person is being accused of knowingly, willfully and intentionally violating.

Further, or with the aforementioned grant wherein the BMV would fill the position of grantee and the PERSON or person would fulfill the position of grantor thereby forming a trust agreement by and between the grantor and grantee wherein a license would legally exist. For without the aforementioned trust agreement, a license cannot legally exist and therefore there cannot exist a controversy before the court, and

(B) Violations of said requirement does not legally exist as insurance companies fail to produce form(s) that would grant PERSON intangible property right to a motor vehicle over to the insurance company. The aforementioned grant would produce a valid contract of terms and conditions under the Insurance Policy that the PERSON would sign in order for the prosecution to prove intent to violate the statute that the PERSON is being accused of knowingly, willfully and intentionally violating. For with the aforementioned grant wherein insurance companies would fill the position of grantee and the PERSON or person would fulfill the position of grantor thereby forming a valid Insurance Policy

the PERSON or person would fulfill the position of grantor thereby forming a valid Insurance Policy by and between the grantor and grantee. The valid Insurance Policy would protect the insurance company from trespass upon the intangible property rights of the driver in the case of a claim or other requirements in the Insurance Policy. The transfer of intangible property rights to the motor vehicle over to the insurance company would also allow the Insurance Policy to be binding against all parties to the agreement whether the terms were shared or absolute use of the intangible property to the motor vehicle.

For without the aforementioned element(s) to an Insurance Policy, a legal contract cannot legally exist and therefore there cannot exist a controversy before the court. Intangible property to a motor vehicle is the only element that defines as to whether a motor vehicle is stolen or not. Although the Parent

Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the State of Indiana may require insurance on all motor vehicles which binds the insurance companies to permanent trespass on all intangible property of its policy holders, the Parent Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the State of Indiana does not have the right to regulate intangible property it does not possess nor tendered just compensation to gain.

(C) Violations of said requirement does not legally exist as the BMV fails to produce form(s) that would grant the PERSON intangible property right to the use of a motor vehicle to the BMV. The aforementioned grant would produce a valid contract of terms and conditions under the registration agreement that the PERSON would sign in order for the prosecution to prove intent to violate the statute that the PERSON is being accused of knowingly, willfully and intentionally violating. For with the aforementioned grant wherein the BMV would fill the position of grantee and the PERSON would fulfill the position of grantor thereby forming a trust agreement by and between the grantor and grantee called a registration agreement wherein a vehicle registration would legally exist. Intangible Property to a motor vehicle is the determination as to whether a motor vehicle has been stolen or not.

For without the aforementioned element to a registration agreement, a legal contract cannot legally exist and therefore there cannot exist a controversy before the court.

Although the BMV may have an easement on the Public Highways for maintenance of the Public Highways, the easement would not allow the BMV to require a registration of a motor vehicle without acknowledging the privately owned intangible property that the PERSON or person currently possesses to the motor vehicle without just compensation to the PERSON or person for the intangible property right of the aforementioned subject matter.

If the Parent Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the State of Indiana does not have the right to take private property without just compensation, it is legally impossible for a department of the same or a different Parent Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the State of Indiana to claim a right that does not exist.

- Respondents are attempting to enforce corporate policies upon Affiant PERSON's. Affiant
 hereby objects to the arbitrary corporate policy of having a Driver's license which contradicts
 Affiant's Freedom of Movement rights. Respondents are denying Affiant's Freedom of
 Movement rights evidenced in Point 6 and Exhibit 4 on page 10 within the firstr Affidavit of
 Fact LINK, and
 - 3.Affiant is a registered member of the North American National Party for the State of the Union of The United States of America LINK foreign to the corporate political parties of the United States corporation, Affiant hereby objects to the arbitrary denial of Nationality violating all Thirty (30) Articles of Public Laws-101. Whereas BRANDON SCOTT GIBBS, PMA hereby claims liability against all Respondent's PERSONS, associating collectively, for committing denial of Nationality upon Affiant's PERSON. BRANDON SCOTT GIBBS, PMA is in social compact with the permanent population of the States of the Union of The United States of America therefore Affiant is a member of a civil society lawfully authorized to administer lawful body politics of a constitutional State in accordance with the codified Law of

Nations Book I Of Nations Considered in Themselves Chapter II. General Principles of the Duties of a Nation toward Itself § 16 A nation is under an obligation to preserve itself, evidenced in Point 3 and Exhibit 3 on page 9 within the first Affidavit of Fact LINK, and

- 4.Respondents have committed Armed Robbery and Theft upon Affiant for the armed seizure and theft of Affiant's private property in the form of a silver (2010) Ford Ranger automobile Registration Number: 1FTKR4EE0APA22373 evidenced in Point 6 and Exhibit 5 on pages 11 through 17 within the first Affidavit of Fact LINK, and
- 5.Respondents and the other registered agents for County of Gibson's Public order, and safety activities Branch Corporation County of Gibson, Sheriffs' office have interfered with international service process with Witness on a Rural free delivery Route without providing on whose authority said Respondents and agents arbitrarily governed said Rural Route, and
- 6. Eric Holcomb as Key Principal for the Parent Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the State of Indiana and Secretary of State for the Parent Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the original State of Indiana Holli Sullivan in association with Respondents as members of the Parent Corporation have hijacked and are operating corporations within the State of Indiana held in trust within the Government of The United States of America, thereby creating a private, corporate, legal society using foreign emoluments in the form of private Bank Notes as subjects of the Crown of Great Britain, the Mayor of London Sadiq Khan LINK of the executive, legislative, and other general government support Parent Corporation, City of London Corporation with former Mayor John Stuttard still listed as Key Principal. Respondents are committing forced association and slavery upon Affiant for Sadiq Khan, therefore Sadiq Khan is hereby accountable for all injuries incurred upon Affiant including but not limited to armed robbery, denial of Nationality, and held ransom of Affiant's private property and Jennifer Robb, armed foreign registered agent, who wrote Affiant a ticket, hereinafter "JR" are liable for Denying Affiant a Nationality, violating the codified Law of Nations Book I Of Nations Considered in Themselves Chapter XIII Of Justice and Polity 158. A nation ought to make justice reign and 159. To establish good laws (Equitable Trespass), forcing the Constitution of the United States Fourteenth (14th) Amendment upon Affiant, and violating the United States **LINK** for trafficking Affiant into the Parent Corporation's jurisdiction, Capital crimes with a potential sentence of death. Evidence of Parent Corporations of the United States as subjects to the Crown provided here; LINK, and

COURSE OF REMEDY

Affiant now recommends that Eric Holcomb as Key Principal for the Parent Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the State of Indiana and Secretary of State for the Parent Corporation arbitrarily in the name of the State of Indiana and Holli Sullivan recognize Affiant's right to travel upon the Rural free delivery Route within the metes and bounds of the State of Indiana, one of the States of the Union of these United States, also known as The United States of America wherein Declared residents and American Nationals all have the right to travel freely upon said Rural Route. Surety Bonds and Driver's License' are available through the North American National Party in association with the North American National Party for the Government of The United States of America. An example of said Driver's License is evidenced in Exhibit 7 on page 26 within this Affidavit, and Further, Affiant recommends that all interference and actions by any one person associating with Respondents cease and desist and Affiant's private property be returned to Affiant immediately in the same condition as when said property was forcefully seized. Failure to adhere to said recommendations shall constitute failure to cooperate and comply with Affiant, therefore upon submission of this Affidavit in the form of a Claim to the Great Jury for the General Post Union of The United States of America and upon approval by said Jury remedy shall be sought within one of the Tribunals of and for The United States of America, and

Respondents shall be given Ten (10) days to give rebuttal to the claims within this Affidavit or this Affidavit shall further stand as fact, and

May Respondents and all those persons within this Affidavit associating with Respondents repent in accordance with the Gospel of Yahushua for a day that has been fixed in accordance with Acts 17:30-31 stated herein;

"30 The times of ignorance God overlooked, but now he commands all people everywhere to repent, 31 because he has fixed a day on which he will judge the world in righteousness by a man whom he has appointed; and of this he has given assurance to all by raising him from the dead."

Affiant Further Sayeth Naught,

Brandon Scott Gibbs, Trustee

Brandon Scott Mibles

Witness: Elisabeth Chalaca Odou, Trustee

Witness: Jeffrey Alan Thomasson, Trustee

This 252nd Day in the year of Yahweh 6024, translated the 26th day of November in the two thousand and twenty-second year of the new covenant in Yahushua's name.

EXHIBIT ONE

— + • Page view A Read aloud ■ Add text ♥ Draw ∨ ₩ Highlight ∨	
STATE OF INDIANA)) SS:
COUNTY OF GIBSON)
	IN THE GIBSON SUPERIOR COURT
	ANNUAL TERM
	ANNUAL TERM
STATE OF INDIANA VS. BRANDON S. GIBBS))) CAUSE NO. 26D01-2208-IF-002274)
COURT ORDER	
Comes now the State of Indiana by Chief Deputy Prosecutor, Abigail Cox, and the Defendant appears in person. Court trial held. Court hears evidence and finds the Defendant is subject to the jurisdiction of this Court both over the person and the subject matter involved in this case. Court finds the State has proved its case by a preponderance of the evidence. Court finds the Defendant has violated I.C. 9-24-1-1(a) (No Valid Driver's License), a Class C Infraction; I.C. 9-25-8-2 (Operating a Motor Vehicle Without Financial Responsibility), a Class A Infraction; and I.C. 9-18.1-11-5(a) (Failure to Register), a Class C Infraction. Court enters civil judgment against the Defendant in the amount of \$10.00 on each Count plus Court costs of \$135.50. The Defendant is given 30 days to pay the fines and Court costs. Defendant's failure to pay the fines and costs may result in the Bureau of Motor Vehicles taking action on his driving status.	
SO ORDERED THIS 26 TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2022.	
	Robert Krieg ROBERT KRIEG JUDGE, GIBSON SUPERIOR COURT